



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mr  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,150	04/19/2004	Hui-Huang Chang	251806-1080	2719
24504	7590	07/13/2007	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			VO, QUANG N	
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STE 1750			2625	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/13/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/827,150	CHANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quang N. Vo	2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  
7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maikeru et al. (Maikeru) (Pub. No.: 06-301364) and further in view of Kouichi (Pub. No.: 11-146202).

With regard to claim 1, Maikeru discloses an error diffusion method applied to halftone processing for image data, the image data comprising a plurality of pixels (paragraph 0001), the method comprising the steps of: dividing the image data into a plurality of image blocks (paragraph 0017); selecting one of the pixels belonging to each of the image blocks as a target pixel, wherein the target pixel is located on the boundary of the corresponding image block (paragraph 0017).

Maikeru differs from claim 1, in that he does not teach assigning a predicted error to the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks.

Kouichi discloses assigning a predicted error to the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks (paragraphs 0011, 0012).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Maikeru to include assigning a predicted error to the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks as taught by Kouichi. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Maikeru by the teaching of Kouichi to increase processing speed since Maikeru and Kouichi both are parallel processing of error diffusion.

With regard to claim 2, Kouichi discloses wherein in the step of assigning, the predicted error is assigned to be 0 (error can be any number including 0).

With regard to claim 3, Kouichi discloses wherein in the step of assigning, the predicted error is determined according to the error of a last pixel which is adjacent to the target pixel, wherein the last pixel and the target pixel do not belong to the same pixel block (paragraph 0011).

With regard to claim 4, Kouichi discloses wherein the last pixel is adjacent to the target pixel in either a transversal or a longitudinal direction (figure 4).

With regard to claim 5, Maikeru discloses wherein the image data is divided into the image blocks according to the location of the image blocks (paragraph 0017).

With regard to claim 6, Maikeru discloses an error diffusion method applied to halftone processing for image data (paragraph 0001), the error prediction method comprising the steps of: dividing the image data into a plurality of image blocks (paragraph 0017), wherein each of the image blocks comprises a plurality of image rows, each of which

comprises a plurality of pixels, and each of the pixels at least outputs an error (paragraph 0017); selecting one of the pixels as a target pixel, wherein the target pixel is located on a boundary of one of the image blocks (paragraph 0017);

Maikeru differs from claim 1, in that he does not teach assigning a predicted error of the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks.

Kouichi discloses assigning a predicted error to the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks (paragraphs 0011, 0012).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Maikeru to include assigning a predicted error to the target pixel; and executing the error diffusion method on the rest of the pixels of the image blocks according to the predicted error of the target pixels of the image blocks as taught by Kouichi. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Maikeru by the teaching of Kouichi to increase processing speed since Maikeru and Kouichi both are parallel processing of error diffusion.

With regard to claim 7, Kouichi discloses wherein in the step of assigning, the predicted error is assigned to be 0 (error can be any number including 0).

With regard to claim 8, Kouichi discloses wherein in the step of assigning, the predicted error is determined according to the error of a last pixel which is adjacent to

the target pixel, wherein the last pixel and the target pixel do not belong to the same pixel block (paragraph 0011).

With regard to claim 9, Kouichi discloses wherein the last pixel is adjacent to the target pixel in either a transversal or a longitudinal direction (figure 4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Vo whose telephone number is 5712701121. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5:00PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on 5712727440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
Quang N. Vo 7/7/07

  
KING Y. POON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
